

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully traverse and request reconsideration.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the notice that claims 19 and 23-25 are allowed and that the remaining claims would also be allowable if written to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejection.

Claims 1-11, 13-15, 26 and 27-31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is allegedly directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicants respectfully submit that the term “graphic processing engine” is a term as known in the art that includes circuitry that performs graphics operations. However, to expedite prosecution, Applicants have amended the claims to indicate that the methods are performed by a graphics processing device that may take any suitable form including graphics processing cores, suitably programmed CPUs, laptop computers, handheld devices, or any other suitable structure that is configured to perform graphics processing operations such as generate pixel information for objects to be displayed on a suitable display (see Background and Specification).

Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. The Examiner is invited to contact the below listed attorney if the Examiner believes that a telephone conference will advance the prosecution of this application.

Date: January 21, 2011

Vedder Price P.C.
222 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60601
phone: (312) 609-7599
fax: (312) 609-5005

Respectfully submitted,
By: /Christopher J. Reckamp/
Christopher J. Reckamp
Registration No. 34,414